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# IMPROVED SEPARATION OF VITAMIN B COMPLEX AND FOLIC ACID USING SOME NEW SOLVENT SYSTEMS AND IMPREGNATED TLC 

Ravi Bhushan,* Vineeta Parshad<br>Chemistry Department<br>University of Roorkee<br>Roorkee 247 667, India


#### Abstract

TLC separation of vitamins of the ' B ' complex group and folic acid has been achieved on plates impregnated with different transition metal ions. The metal ions used were $\mathrm{Mn}^{++}, \mathrm{Fe}^{++}, \mathrm{Co}^{++}$, $\mathrm{Ni}^{++}, \mathrm{Cu}^{++}, \mathrm{Zn}^{++}$and $\mathrm{Hg}^{++} . \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values for all the vitamins, using six new solvent systems, worked out for the purpose, for each of the four different concentrations of each metal ion have been reported. The results have been discussed for each metal ion compared and the best conditions of separation have been identified.


## INTRODUCTION

The water soluble group of vitamins plays a very important role in the action of certain enzymes, acting as coenzymes, along with the prevention of some diseases. Trace analysis of vitamin-B complex becomes indispensable as it is the monitor of the water soluble group. ${ }^{1}$ Also, the vitamins of the B complex group are responsible for the healthy functioning of the muscles, nerves, gastro-intestinal system, skin, and blood. Deficiency of these may lead to diseases such as beri-beri, macrocyclic anaemia, chellosis, and pernicious anaemia. There are many reports using HPLC, $,{ }^{2,3} \mathrm{GC},{ }^{4} \mathrm{HPCE},{ }^{5}$ and $\mathrm{CZE}^{6}$ for the separation of water soluble vitamins.

TLC separation of mixtures of vitamin-B complex, ${ }^{7}$ acid labile cobalamin, ${ }^{8}$ vitamin $\mathrm{B},{ }^{9}{ }^{9}$ vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{2},{ }^{10}$ and folic acid $^{11}$ have also been reported. Impregnating reagents have been reported to improve the separation of a number of compounds. ${ }^{12}$ TLC resolution of constituents of vitamin-B complex ${ }^{13-15}$ on impregnated plates have also been described by some workers. Nevertheless, less work has been done on separation of vitamins using impregnated TLC.

Simultaneous analysis of vitamin $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{6}, B_{12}$, and folic acid is very important for quality control of multivitamin preparations. So, studies on the use of impregnated plates for the separation of these vitamins were made and six new, improved solvent systems were worked out; the results obtained are presented in this paper.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Vitamin samples analyzed were $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{6}, B_{12}$, and folic acid. These samples were purchased from BDH (India), Glaxo (India), and Cyanamid (India). All the impregnating reagents of AR Grade were from E. Merck (Bombay). The silica gel G (E. Merck (India) Ltd., Bombay) with $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$ ( $13 \%$ ), iron, chloride ( $0.03 \%$ each), and giving pH 7 in an aqueous suspension $(10 \%)$ was used, and solvents used were also from E. Merck (Bombay).

Vitamin samples were extracted from commercial tablets, capsules, and injection vials available for individual components. The tablets (containing 200 mg of vitamin) were ground to a fine powder and extracted with absolute ethanol ( 20 mL ) thrice. The mother liquor was decanted and the crystals were washed with a little ether. The purity of each vitamin was confirmed by m.p. and by recording UV spectra. ${ }^{16}$ The yield was about $75 \%$. The solutions of vitamins $\left(10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ were prepared in $70 \%$ ethanol.

Thin layer plates ( 20 cm X 20 cm X 0.5 mm ) were prepared by spreading a slurry of silica gel $G$ in distilled water in a ratio of 1:2 with the help of Stahl type applicator. The plates were then dried overnight at $50 \pm 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oven. For impregnated plates, the slurry was prepared in aqueous solutions of different metal ions, the ions used were $\mathrm{Mn}^{++}, \mathrm{Fe}^{++}, \mathrm{Co}^{++}, \mathrm{Ni}^{++}, \mathrm{Cu}^{++}, \mathrm{Cd}^{++}, \mathrm{Zn}^{++}$, or $\mathrm{Hg}^{++}$ and $0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4 \%$ of each of these ions was used.

Samples were applied at the 500 ng level with the help of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Hamilton syringe. The chromatograms were developed during 70 minutes for a 10 cm run in all the solvent systems. The plates were air dried after development. Vitamin $B_{12}$ remained as a natural bright red spot. Spots of vitamin $B_{2}, B_{6}$, and folic acid appeared yellow. However, vitamin $B_{1}$ was located by exposing the plates to iodine vapors.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Systematic studies were made to find out effective solvent systems for the separation of vitamins and the following six systems were found to be successful for this purpose:
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ : Chloroform-n-butanol-acetic acid-ammonia (4:7:5:1;v/v);
$\mathrm{A}_{2}$ : Chloroform-n-butanol-water-acetic acid-ammonia (3:5:0.5:5:0.5;v/v);
$A_{3}$ : Benzene-butylacetate-n-propanol-acetic acid-ammonia (1:4:1:5:1;v/v);
$\mathrm{A}_{4}$ : Carbon tetrachloride-butylacetate-propionic acid-ammonia (3:7:9:3;v/v);
$\mathrm{A}_{5}$ : Carbon tetrachloride-butylacetate-methanol-ammonia (1.5:4.5:7:0.5; v/v);
$\mathrm{A}_{6}$ : Carbon tetrachloride-butylacetate-propionic acid-methanol-water (2:3:1:0.5:3;v/v).

In order to improve the separation of vitamins, four different concentrations viz. $0.1 \%, 0.2 \%, 0.3 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ of each metal ion $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{++}, \mathrm{Fe}^{++}\right.$, $\mathrm{Co}^{++}, \mathrm{Ni}^{++}, \mathrm{Cu}^{++}, \mathrm{Zn}^{++}, \mathrm{Cd}^{++}$, and $\mathrm{Hg}^{++}$) were tried. Some of the best results, showing the influence of metal ions on chromatographic behaviour of vitamins, are shown in Tables 1-8. The results shown in each of the tables have been discussed in paragraphs and compared with those on plates without any impregnating reagents.

The $h R_{f}$ values were affected by the concentration of impregnating reagent in all the solvent systems. Each reported $h R_{f}$ value is the average of at least three or more identical runs. The spots were more compact on impregnated layers than on plain silica gel layer. The resolution possibilities of vitamins were calculated by dividing the distance between two spot centres by the sum of the two spot radii and a value of 1.50 or more was considered as a measure of complete resolution. The variation in $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values with different transition metal ions can be attributed to complex formation and variation in solubilities of complexes in different solvent systems or their different adsorption coefficients during the development of the chromatogram. The effect of each metal ion at four different concentrations in the six solvent systems is discussed below.

## Impregnation with $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$

There was a general decrease in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of vitamins on plates impregnated with $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ in all the nine solvent systems, in comparison to plain plates. On going from $0.1 \%$ to $0.2 \%$ impregnation, $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values increased mostly
Table 2

| Sample | $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Values of Vitamins on Plates Impregnated with Different Concentrations of FeSO ${ }_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A1 (\%) |  |  |  | A2 (\%) |  |  |  | A3 (\%) |  |  |  | A4 (\%) |  |  |  | A5 (\%) |  |  |  | A6 (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | Plain |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  | 0.3 | Plain |  |  | 0.3 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain |  | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| No. | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | 27 | 32 | 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | 30 | 21 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 21 | 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | 35 | 34 | 32 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 18 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 08 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 22 | 26 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | 38 | 66 | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | 48 | 56 | 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 50 | 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | 55 | 53 | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | 48 | 62 | 63 | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | 75 | 78 | 67 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | 45 | 60 | 65 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | 41 | 53 | 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 55 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 31 | 53 | 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | 58 | 57 | 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 58 \end{aligned}$ | 53 | 50 | 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | 55 | 69 | 70 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | 49 | 70 | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ |  | 61 | 63 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | 35 | 60 | 63 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | 63 | 61 | 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ | 57 | 78 | 77 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | 71 | 71 | 73 |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 45 | 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |  | $29^{\text {T }}$ | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | 19 | 42 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | 45 | 40 | 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 20 | 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 38^{\mathrm{T}} \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | 39 | 48 | 51 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ : chloroform-n-butanol-acetic acid-ammonia ( $4: 7: 5: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ); $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ : chloroform- n -butanol-water-acetic acid-ammonia ( $3: 5: 0.5: 5: 0.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ); $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ : benzene-


Table 3

| Sample | A1 (\%) |  |  |  | A2 (\%) |  |  |  | A3 (\%) |  |  |  | A4 (\%) |  |  |  | A5 (\%) |  |  |  | A6 (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| No. | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | 38 | 20 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 25 | 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | 46 | 22 | 43 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | 42 | 35 | 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 20 | 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 18 | 15 | 18 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | 64 | 55 | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 58 \end{aligned}$ | 60 | 61 | 61 | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | 73 | 49 | 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55 | 39 | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | 59 | 62 | 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | 71 | 68 | 70 |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ 55 \end{gathered}$ | 61 | 57 | 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ | 56 | 56 | 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | 73 | 51 | 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 59 | 58 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | 63 | 69 | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | 61 | 65 | 66 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | 65 | 65 | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | 68 | 69 | 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | 76 | 72 | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | 64 | 64 | 63 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | 67 | 71 | 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | 67 | 72 | 74 |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | 54 | 34 | 45 | $50$ |  | $40^{\text {T }}$ | 41 | $\begin{gathered} 54^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 46 \end{gathered}$ | 56 |  | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | 47 | 40 | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 30 | $23^{\text {T }}$ | 24 | $\begin{gathered} 38^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | 39 | 34 | 36 |
| T, Tailin <br> $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ : chlo Butylace tetrachlo | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampl } \\ & \text { rm-n-1 } \\ & \text { e-n-pro } \\ & \text { e-butyl } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { le No. } \\ & \text { butanc } \\ & \text { opanol } \\ & \text { laceta } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1, vita } \\ & \text { ool-acet } \\ & \text { l-aceti } \\ & \text { ne-met } \end{aligned}$ | amin tic ac ic aci thano | $B_{1} ; 2$, v id-amm -ammo -ammo | itami <br> onia <br> nia (1 <br> nia (1 | $\begin{aligned} & n B_{2} ; 3 \\ & (4: 7: 5 \\ & 1: 4: 1: 5 \\ & 1.5: 4.5 \end{aligned}$ | 3, vita <br> : $1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ <br> :1, v/v) <br> :7:0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \min \mathrm{B}_{6} ; \\ & y) ; \mathrm{A}_{2}: \\ & \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{A}_{4}: \\ & , \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \end{aligned}$ | 4, f <br> chlor <br> carb <br> $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ : | lic ac form tetr arbon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { id; } 5, \\ & \text {-n-bu } \\ & \text { achlo } \\ & \text { tetra } \end{aligned}$ | vitamin anol-wa ide-but hloride | $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ <br> ater- <br> ylac <br> -buty | cetic <br> ateacet |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a }(3: 5: \\ & \text { l-amm } \\ & \text { acid-m } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & : 0.5: 5 \\ & \text { onia } \\ & \text { nethat } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & : 0.5, \mathrm{v} \\ & (3: 7: 9: \\ & \text { nol-wa } \end{aligned}$ | v); $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ <br> 3, v/v) ter (2:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3: \text { be } \\ & ; \mathrm{A}_{5} \\ & 3: 1: 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zene- } \\ & \text { carb } \\ & 5: 3, ~ v \end{aligned}$ |  |

Table 4

T, Tailing. Sample No. 1, vitamin $B_{1} ; 2$, vitamin $B_{2} ; 3$, vitamin $B_{6} ; 4$, folic acid; 5, vitamin $B_{12}$
$A_{1}$ : chloroform-n-butanol-acetic acid-ammonia $(4: 7: 5: 1, v / v) ; A_{2}$ : chloroform-n-butanol-water Butylacetate-n-propanol-acetic acid-ammonia $(1: 4: 1: 5: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{A}_{4}$ : carbon tetrachloride-butylacetate-propionic acid-ammonia (3:7:9:3, v/v); A $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ : carbon

Table 5
$\mathbf{H R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Values of Vitamins on Plates Impregnated with Different Concentrations of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$
T, Tailing. Sample No. 1, vitamin $B_{1} ; 2$, vitamin $B_{2} ; 3$, vitamin $B_{6} ; 4$, folic acid; 5, vitamin $B_{12}$.
$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}_{1} \text { : chloroform-n-butanol-acetic acid-ammonia }(4: 7: 5: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{A}_{2}: \text { chloroform-n-butanol-water-acetic acid-ammonia }(3: 5: 0.5: 5: 0.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{A}_{3}: \text { benzene- } \\ & \text { Butylacetate-n-propanol-acetic acid-ammonia }(1: 4: 1: 5: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{A}_{4}: \text { carbon tetrachloride-butylacetate-propionic acid-ammonia }(3: 7: 9: 3, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{A}_{5}: \text { carbon } \\ & \text { Tetrachloride-butylacetate-methanol-ammonia }(1.5: 4.5: 7: 0.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{A}_{6} \text { : carbon tetrachloride-butylacetate-propionic acid-methanol-water }(2: 3: 1: 0.5: 3, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \text {. }\end{aligned}$
( $1 / \Lambda$ ' $\varepsilon: C \cdot 0: I: \varepsilon: Z)$
Table 6
 $A_{1}$. chloroform-n-butanol-acetic acid-ammonia (4:7:5:1, v/v); $A_{2}$ : chloroform-n-butanol-water-acetic acid-ammonia ( $\left.3: 5: 0.5: 5: 0.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right) ; \mathrm{A}_{3}:$ benzene-
Butylacetate-n-propanol-acetic acid-ammonia $(1: 4: 1: 5: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{A}_{4}$ : carbon tetrachloride-butylacetate-propionic acid-ammonia $(3: 7: 9: 3, \mathrm{v})$; $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ : carbon tetrachloride-butylacetate-methanol-ammonia (1.5:4.5:7:0.5, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ); $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ : carbon tetrachloride-butylacetate-propionic acid-methanol-water (2:3:1:0.5:3, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ).
Table 7

Table 8

| $\mathbf{H R}_{\mathbf{f}}$ Values of Vitamins on Plates Impregnated with Different Concentrations of $\mathbf{H g S O}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A1 (\%) |  |  |  | A2 (\%) |  |  |  | A3 (\%) |  |  |  | A4 (\%) |  |  |  | A5 (\%) |  |  |  | A6 (\%) |  |  |  |
| Sample | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Plain | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| No. | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 21 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 17 | 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | 32 | 40 | 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 36 | 34 | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 15 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 10 | 11 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 42 | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | 31 | 40 | 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 52 | 55 | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | 52 | 48 | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 50 | 45 | 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | 71 | 57 | 60 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 37 | 47 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | 35 | 49 | 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | 50 | 69 | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | 56 | 55 | 41 | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \\ 61 \end{array}$ | 60 | 65 | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | 47 | 49 | 50 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | 45 | 40 | 45 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 41 | 53 | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | 56 | 66 | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 59 | 51 | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | 64 | 69 | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | 36 | 66 | 67 |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 22 | 24 | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | 27 | $36^{\text {T }}$ | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 54^{\mathrm{T}} \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 43* | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | 39 | 37 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | 19 | 10 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} 38^{\mathrm{T}} 20 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $01012$ |  |  |

* Slight tailing; T, Tailing. Sample No. 1, vitamin $B_{1} ; 2$, vitamin $B_{2} ; 3$, vitamin $B_{6} ; 4$, folic acid; 5 , vitamin $B_{12}$.

[^0] tetrachloride-butylacetate-methanol-ammonia (1.5:4.5:7:0.5, v/v); $\mathrm{A}_{6}:$ carbon tetrachloride-butylacetate-propionic acid-methanol-water (2:3:1:0.5:3, v/v).
in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{4}$, while these values decreased in solvent $\mathrm{A}_{6}$. No definite trend was observed with solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{5}$. Varying the concentration of $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ from $0.2 \%$ to $0.3 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values, in general, increased in all the solvent systems except in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{4}$, where these values decreased. Further, on increasing the concentration to $0.4 \%$, an increase in $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values was observed in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{5}$.

It is evident from Table 1 that vitamins which were not resolved on plain plates in different solvent systems are resolved after impregnation with $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$. These are vitamin $B_{6}$ and $B_{12}$ in solvent system $A_{1}$ (at $0.1 \%$ ), in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ (at $0.2 \%, 0.3 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ ), vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{6}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.3 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}$ and $B_{6}$ in solvent system $A_{3}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{1}$ and $B_{12}$ in solvent system $A_{5}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ) and vitamin $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{6}$, folic acid and $B_{12}$ in solvent system $A_{4}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ). Best results were achieved at $0.3 \%$ of $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ with all the solvent systems except $\mathrm{A}_{1}$.

## Impregnation with $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$

The $h R_{f}$ values were generally decreased with $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ impregnation in comparison to plain plates (Table 2) in all the solvent systems except solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ where these values generally increased. An increase of concentration of impregnating reagent from $0.1 \%$ to $0.2 \%$ resulted into a general decrease in $R_{f}$ values in solvent system $A_{4}$, while an increase in these values was observed in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{5}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$. On going from $0.2 \%$ to $0.3 \%$, these values generally decreased in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ only, in all the other solvent systems these values increased subsequently. While, from $0.3 \%$ to $0.4 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values decreased in all the solvent systems except in solvent system where these values increased (in contrast $0.3 \%$ ).

Table 2 shows that impregnation of $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ resulted into separation of following vitamins in comparison to plain plates: vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{6}$, and folic acid in solvent system $A_{2}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}$ and $B_{6}$ in solvent system $A_{3}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{6}$, folic acid, and $B_{12}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ) in solvent system $A_{4}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ) and vitamin $B_{1}$ and $B_{12}$ in solvent system (at $0.3 \%$ ). The best results were obtained at $0.1 \%$ impregnation of $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ in all the solvent systems except solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{5}$.

## Impregnation with $\mathrm{CoSO}_{4}$

For most of the vitamins, $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values decreased in comparison to plain plates when $\mathrm{CoSO}_{4}$ was used as impregnating reagent, in comparison to plain plates (Table 3) except that these were increased with solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{6}$. On
comparing the effect of different concentrations of $\mathrm{CoSO}_{4}$, it was found that at $0.1 \%$ to $0.2 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values increased in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{5}$, decreased in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$. On increasing the concentration to $0.3 \%$, $h R_{f}$ values mostly increased in every solvent system except solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ and $A_{5}$, where a decrease in these values was observed. Further increasing the concentration to $0.4 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values increased only in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ and decreased mostly in all the other solvent systems.

Successfully resolved vitamins which were not resolved on plain plates (Table 3) are: vitamin $B_{6}$ and $B_{12}$ in solvent systems in $A_{1}$ and $A_{6}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}, B_{6}$ and folic acid in solvent system $A_{2}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.2 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}$ and $B_{6}$ in solvent system $A_{3}$ (at $0.3 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{1}$, $B_{2}, B_{6}$, folic acid, $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.3 \%, 0.4 \%$ ) and vitamin $B_{1}$ and $B_{12}$ in solvent system $A_{5}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ). Each vitamin was resolved at $0.1 \%$ in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}, \mathrm{~A}_{5}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$.

## Impregnation with $\mathrm{NiSO}_{4}$

Decreases in $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values were observed, in general, using $\mathrm{NiSO}_{4}$ as impregnating reagent with all the solvent systems in comparison to plain plates (Table 4) except for solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ where these values generally increased in most of the cases. On changing the concentration from $0.1 \%$ to $0.2 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values generally increased in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}, \mathrm{~A}_{5}$, and generally decreased in all the other solvent systems, i.e., solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ and $A_{6}$. No regular trend was observed with solvent system $A_{1}$. Varying the concentration from $0.2 \%$ to $0.3 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values decreased with solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{3}$, $\mathrm{A}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{5}$, and increased with rest of the solvent systems. Whereas, from $0.3 \%$ to $0.4 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values increased in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ and decreased with other solvent systems. It was found that using solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{4}$, there was a general decrease in $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values from $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$.

Table 4 shows the resolved vitamins on impregnated plates with $\mathrm{NiSO}_{4}$ which were not resolved earlier on plain plates. These vitamins are vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ and $B_{12}$ in solvent systems $A_{1}$ and $A_{6}$. (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}, B_{6}$ and folic acid in solvent system $A_{2}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}$, and folic acid in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); all the vitamins in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.2 \%$ and $0.3 \%$ ); vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ (at $0.1 \%$ ). Best results were achieved at $0.1 \%$ with all the solvent systems except $\mathrm{A}_{3}$.

## Impregnation with $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$

Impregnation with $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ resulted in a general decrease of $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values in comparison to plain plates (Table 5) in all the solvent systems except solvent
systems $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$. On going from $0.1 \%$ to $0.2 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values, in general, increased in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{5}$. These values decreased in all the other solvent systems. From $0.2 \%$ to $0.3 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values generally decreased in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{5}$. Increase in these values was found in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$. Further, from $0.3 \%$ to $0.4 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values in general decreased in all the solvent systems except in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ where these values increased.

Table 5 shows the vitamins which resolved with $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ impregnation and had not resolved with plain plates in the same solvent system. These are vitamin $B_{6}$ and $B_{12}$ in solvent systems $A_{1}$ and $A_{6}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}, B_{6}$, and folic acid in solvent system $A_{2}$ (at $0.3 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}$ and $B_{6}$ in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ (at $0.3 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{6}$, folic acid, and $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{1}$ and $B_{12}$ in solvent system $A_{5}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.2 \%$ and $0.4 \%)$. Best results were obtained at $0.4 \%$ in all the solvent systems except $\mathrm{A}_{2}$.

## Impregnation with $\mathbf{Z n S O}_{4}$

$h \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values decreased in most of the cases with $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ impregnation in comparison to plain plates (Table 6) in all the developed systems except solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ where these values increased mostly. While studying the effect of different concentrations of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ it was found that, on going from $0.1 \%$ to $0.2 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values increased mostly in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{5}$. These values decreased mostly in solvent systems $A_{1}$ and $A_{6}$. Varying the concentration from $0.2 \%$ to $0.3 \%$, a decrease in $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values was observed mostly in solvent systems $A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$. These values increased in all the other solvent systems. Further increasing the concentration to $0.4 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values decreased in all the solvent systems.

It is evident, from Table 6, that vitamins which were not resolved on plain plates in various solvent systems are resolved after impregnation with $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$. These are vitamin $B_{6}$ and $B_{12}$ in solvent systems $A_{1}$ and $A_{6}(0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%)$; vitamin $B_{2}, B_{6}$, and folic acid in solvent system $A_{2}(0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%)$; vitamin $B_{2}$ and $B_{6}$ in solvent system $A_{3}$ (at $0.1 \%$ and $0.3 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{6}$, folic acid, and $B_{12}$ in solvent system $A_{4}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.3 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{1}$ and $B_{12}$ in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.2 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ ). Best results were obtained at $0.3 \%$ impregnation in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$.

## Impregnation with $\mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$

There was a general decrease in $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values with $\mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$ impregnation in all the solvent systems in comparison to plain plates (Table 7). On going from $0.1 \%$ to $0.2 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values, in general, decreased in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$
while general increase in $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values was observed in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{5}$. On increasing the concentration from $0.2 \%$ to $0.3 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values increased in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ and decreased in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{5}$. Further changing the concentration from $0.3 \%$ to $0.4 \% \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values decreased in all the solvent systems except $A_{3}$ where an increase in $h R_{f}$ values was observed.

Table 7 shows the vitamins which where not resolved earlier on plain plates. These are vitamins $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.2 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}, B_{6}$, and folic acid in solvent system $A_{2}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.3 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}$ and $B_{6}$ in solvent system $A_{3}$ (at $0.1 \%$ and $0.2 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{1}$, $\mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{6}$, folic acid, and $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.3 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{1}$ and $B_{12}$ in solvent system $A_{5}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ). It was interesting to find out that $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values decreased in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{4}$. Best results were achieved at $0.4 \%$ in all solvent systems except solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{3}$.

## Impregnation with $\mathbf{H g S O}_{\mathbf{4}}$

It was observed, in general, that use of $\mathrm{HgSO}_{4}$ as impregnating reagent resulted in decrease in $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values with all the solvent systems in comparison to plain plates (Table 8), except solvent $A_{6}$ where $h R_{f}$ values increased in general. Increasing the concentration from $0.1 \%$ to $0.2 \%, \mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values increased in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{5}$. On going from $0.2 \%$ to $0.3 \%$, these values decreased in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{4}$. A general increase was found in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{1}$, $\mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{5}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$. Further, varying the concentration to $0.4 \%$, a decrease in $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values was observed in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ where these values were increased. A decrease in $h R_{f}$ values from $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ was found in solvent system $A_{4}$.

It is clear from Table 8 that vitamins which were not resolved on plain plates in different solvent systems are resolved after impregnation with $\mathrm{HgSO}_{4}$. These are vitamins $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ in solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}, B_{6}$, and folic acid in solvent system $A_{2}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.2 \%$ and $0.3 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{2}$ and $B_{6}$ in solvent system $A_{3}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.2 \%$ and $0.3 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{1}$, $B_{2}, B_{6}, B_{12}$, and folic acid in solvent system $A_{4}$ (at $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ ); vitamin $B_{1}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ (at $0.1 \%, 0.2 \%$ and $0.3 \%$ ). Best results were obtained at $0.1 \%$ impregnation with solvent systems $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$.

Better resolution, with disappearance of tailing in most of the cases, with general decrease in $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values, was observed on impregnated plates as compared to untreated ones in all the developed solvent systems. All the concentrations of $\mathrm{CoSO}_{4}$ in solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ were unsuccessful as there was incomplete/poor resolution of vitamins under study, either due to tailing or close $h R_{f}$ values.

Sometimes diffused spots were also obtained. $0.2 \%$ Impregnation of each metal ion in all the six solvent systems was proved to be relatively poor in resolving vitamins on thin silica plates.

It can be assumed that, at these concentrations, metal ions are influencing the chromatographic behavior by complex formation. The complex formed changed the adsorption/partition characteristics during the development of chromatograms and better resolution of vitamin-B complex and folic acid was achieved. It can also be assumed that weak electron donation from $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$, or S atoms or $\pi$ electron donation from the aromatic ring of the vitamins to the metal ion affected the chromatographic behavior. Vitamin $B_{12}$ is a porphyrin derivative and porphyrins are known to form metallic complexes with metals such as manganese, iron, copper, and zinc. ${ }^{16}$

On the basis of observed results, it was inferred that $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ at $0.4 \%$ impregnation in all the employed solvent systems (except solvent system $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ) resulted in the simultaneous resolution of constituents of vitamin-B complex and folic acid with appreciable difference in $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values. Very sharp and compact spots were obtained with $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$, providing improved resolution. Different concentrations of metal ions providing successful/improved resolution in the developed solvent systems have been shown in Table 5.

Constituents of vitamin-B complex and folic acid can be separated and identified in pharmaceutical and multivitamin preparations with less running time as reported earlier. ${ }^{15}$ By using any of the above developed solvent systems, vitamins which were not resolved on the untreated plates were resolved with most of the impregnating reagents.
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